In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 13-985V Filed: August 13, 2014 Not for Publication

<u>Claudia B. Gangi</u>, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

On December 12, 2013, petitioner filed a petition under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10–34 (2006), alleging that she suffered subdeltoid bursitis in her left shoulder secondary to the influenza ("flu") vaccination she received on September 20, 2012. On April 4, 2014, respondent filed a Rule 4(c) Report conceding that petitioner suffered from a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") and recommending compensation.

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

On August 12, 2014, respondent filed Respondent's Proffer on Award of Compensation. Respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded \$110,000.00 for her actual and projected pain and suffering and proffers that petitioner is not entitled to an award for future medical care expenses, lost earnings, past unreimbursable expenses, or any outstanding Medicaid liens. The undersigned finds the terms of the proffer to be reasonable. Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to the award as stated in the proffer. Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached proffer, the court awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$110,000.00, representing compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a) (2006). The award shall be in the form of a check for \$110,000.00 made payable to petitioner.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>August 13, 2014</u>

/s/ Laura D. Millman by Nora Beth Dorsey Laura D. Millman Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

CHING-PING CHIH,)
Petitioner,)) No. 13-985V
v.) Special Master Millman) ECF
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,)))
Respondent.)))

RESPONDENT'S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION

On December 12, 2013, Ching-Ping Chih ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10 to 34. Petitioner alleges that she received an influenza vaccine in her left arm on September 20, 2012, and subsequently suffered subdeltoid bursitis in her left shoulder secondary to the vaccination. Petition at 1-2. On April 4, 2014, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("respondent") filed a Vaccine Rule 4(c) Report, conceding that petitioner suffered from a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA"), and recommending that the Court award petitioner compensation. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 3. Respondent hereby submits the following proffer regarding the award of compensation.

I. Items of Compensation

A. Future Medical Care Expenses

Respondent proffers that based on the evidence of record, petitioner is not entitled to an award for projected unreimbursable medical care expenses incurred from the date of judgment as provided under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a)(1)(A). Petitioner agrees.

B. Lost Earnings

Respondent proffers that based upon the evidence of record, petitioner has not and is not likely to suffer a loss of earnings as a result of her vaccine-related injury. Accordingly, the Court should not award lost future earnings as provided under § 300aa-15(a)(3)(A). Petitioner agrees.

C. Pain and Suffering

Respondent proffers that the Court should award petitioner a lump sum of \$110,000.00 for her actual and projected pain and suffering. This amount reflects that the award for projected pain and suffering has been reduced to net present value. See § 300aa-15(a)(4). Petitioner agrees.

D. Past Unreimbursable Expenses

Respondent proffers that based upon the evidence of record, petitioner has not incurred any past unreimbursable expenses related to her vaccine-related injury. Petitioner agrees.

E. Medicaid Lien

Petitioner represents that there are no outstanding Medicaid liens related to her vaccinerelated injury.

II. Form of the Award

The parties recommend that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment as described below and request that the Special Master's decision and the Court's judgment award the following 1: a lump sum payment of \$110,000.00 (representing compensation for actual and projected pain and suffering), in the form of a check payable to petitioner.

III. Summary of Recommended Payment Following Judgment

A lump sum payment of \$110,000.00, representing compensation for actual and projected pain and suffering related to the vaccine-related injury, payable to petitioner.

Respectfully submitted,

STUART F. DELERY Assistant Attorney General

RUPA BHATTACHARYYA Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

VINCENT J. MATANOSKI Deputy Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

LINDA S. RENZI Senior Trial Counsel Torts Branch, Civil Division

_

¹ Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future pain and suffering.

/s/ Claudia B. Gangi CLAUDIA B. GANGI Senior Trial Attorney Torts Branch, Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 146 Benjamin Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-0146 Tel.: (202) 616-4138

Dated: August 12, 2014